

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. 2023-CA-012766-O  
BUSINESS COURT

ORLANDO HEALTH, INC., a Florida corporation;  
and ORLANDO HEALTH MEDICAL GROUP,  
INC., a Florida corporation,  
Plaintiffs,

v .

CARDIOVASCULAR ASSOCIATES OF  
AMERICA; TIMOTHY ATTEBERY, an individual;  
CVICFL HOLDINGS, LLC, a foreign limited  
liability company; CARDIOVASCULAR  
INSTITUTE OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, LLC, a  
Florida limited liability company; and CVAUSA  
MANAGEMENT, LLC, a foreign limited liability  
company,  
Defendants.

/

**AGREED ORDER  
GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO  
DETERMINE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF  
COURT RECORDS AND SEAL COUNTERCLAIM-  
PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO SECOND INTERROGATORIES**

THIS CAUSE, having come before the Court on Counterclaim-Defendants, ORLANDO HEALTH, INC. ("OHI") and ORLANDO HEALTH MEDICAL GROUP, INC.'S ("OHMG" and together with OHI, the "Counterclaim-Defendants"), Unopposed Motion to Determine the Confidentiality of Court Records and Seal Counterclaim-Plaintiff's Opposition to Counterclaim-Defendants' Motion to Compel Answers to Second Interrogatories (the "Motion"), which was filed on May 6, 2025, and this Court, having reviewed the Motion, being advised that Counterclaim-Plaintiff, CARDIOVASCULAR INSTITUTE OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, LLC ("Counterclaim-Plaintiff"), agrees to the

relief requested in the Motion, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, hereby


ORDERS and ADJUDGES as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby GRANTED.
2. This Order is being entered in the above-captioned civil action with respect to the Opposition to Counterclaim-Defendants' Motion to Compel Answers to Second Interrogatories (the "Opposition") to be filed without redactions by Counterclaim-Plaintiff.
3. The Opposition is hereby deemed to contain information that is confidential within the meaning of Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420, as detailed in the Motion.
4. The particular grounds for determining that the Opposition is confidential are Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420(c)(9)(ii) and (vi) and the parties' Confidentiality Agreement.
5. No party's name is determined to be confidential.
6. The progress docket or similar records generated to document activity in the case are not determined to be confidential.
7. The Court finds that the degree, duration, and manner of confidentiality ordered by the Court are no broader than necessary to protect the interests set forth in subdivision (c) of Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 and that no less restrictive measures are available to protect the interests set forth in subdivision (c) of Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420.
8. Counterclaim-Plaintiff is directed to file an unredacted version of the Opposition, at which time the Clerk is directed to designate and maintain same as confidential.

9. The persons that are permitted to view the Opposition are those identified in the Confidentiality Agreement to which disclosure of Confidential Materials, as defined in the Confidentiality Agreement, is permissible.

10. The Clerk is further directed to publish this Order in accordance with Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420(e)(5).

DONE and ORDERED.



05/19/2025 12:15:34  
2023-CA-012766-O

eSigned by Chad Alvaro 05/19/2025 12:15:34 m12M4oSY

Chad K. Alvaro  
CIRCUIT JUDGE

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court by using the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal system, which will send notice of electronic filing and complete service of the foregoing as required by Florida Rules of Judicial Administration 2.516 to:

*Attorneys for Counterclaim-Defendants:* Terry C. Young, Esquire, Melody B. Lynch, Esquire, Ronald D. Edwards, Jr., Esquire, and W. Griffin Giroux, Esquire, Lowndes, Drosdick, Doster, Kantor & Reed, P.A., 215 North Eola Drive, Post Office Box 2809, Orlando, Florida 32802, terry.young@lowndes-law.com, melody.lynn@lowndes-law.com, \_\_\_\_\_ronald.edwards@lowndes-law.com, griffin.giroux@lowndes-law.com, janie.kearse@lowndes-law.com, tracy.kennison@lowndes-law.com, lit.control@lowndes-law.com.

*Attorneys for Counterclaim-Plaintiff:* Michael J. Quinn, Esquire, Benjamin Elliott, Esquire, Michael L. Gore, Esquire, Frank Zacherl, Esquire, Shutts & Bowen, LLP, 300 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1600, Orlando, Florida 32801; MQuinn@shutts.com, ARice@shutts.com, MGore@shutts.com, SSeiter@shutts.com; fzacherl@shutts.com; lmarchante@shutts.com; belliot@shutts.com; wirvin@shutts.com.

*Attorneys for Counterclaim-Plaintiff:* Joseph P. Rockers, Esquire and Dylan Schweers, Esquire, Goodwin Proctor LLP, 100 Northern Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, rockers@goodwinlaw.com, dschweers@goodwinlaw.com.

*Attorneys for Mark Steiner, M.D.:* Jeffrey S. Hammer, Esquire and Jill S. Schwartz, Esquire Jill S. Schwartz & Associates, P.A., 655 West Morse Boulevard, Suite 212, Winter Park, Florida 32789, jschwartz@schwartzlawfirm.net, jhammer@schwartzlawfirm.net.